



90169

February 7, 1990

Mr. Steve Laut
POCO Petroleums Ltd.
2600 Bow Valley Square IV
250 - 6th Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 3H7

*POCO Proj (04)
Concurrent Prod^{ns}
(this replaces previous Gas Proj
Scheme coded "03") 82.*

Dear Mr. Laut:

CONCURRENT PRODUCTION AND GOOD ENGINEERING PRACTICE
BUICK CREEK -- DOIG 'B'

This is with regards to your application of February 20, 1989, and our response of March 14, 1989, in which we agreed with your conclusions that the pool is not responding to gas injection and that concurrent production approval under good engineering practice should be re-instated in the pool.

Texaco had applied for the approval of a concurrent production scheme for their oil well b-77-I/94-A-11 drilled in 1988 in the Buick Doig 'B' pool. Accordingly, we had requested a pooling agreement between POCO and Texaco lands before a concurrent production approval could be granted for the Buick Creek Doig 'B' pool.

As a pooling agreement was submitted on January 2, 1990, the gas reinjection approval of March 18, 1986, is hereby rescinded and a concurrent production scheme under section 113 of the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act is approved subject to the following conditions:

*rescinded
off 90-02-07.*

1. The area of concurrent production and good engineering practice approval consists of units 56, 57, 58, 66, 67, 68 and 77 Block I/94-A-11.
2. The oil allowable for the area is 61.8 m³/d.

Mr. S. Lant
February 7, 1990
Page 2

3. Gas production from the area of approval will be limited to 85 E³m³/d which is based on our estimate of gas reserves in the area of approval.
4. All gas production will be conserved.
5. The operations of the concurrent production scheme will be subject to periodic review and modification to the approval may be ordered if deemed appropriate through a change in circumstances.

Yours sincerely,



B.E. Hanwell
Director
Engineering and Operations
Branch

PSA/mef

cc: Mr. R.V. Gooden
Texaco Canada Resources

D.L. Johnson, Manager
Fort St. John Field Office